

<https://www.synopsys.com/automotive/autonomous-driving-levels.html>

The Society of Automotive Engineers ([SAE](#)) defines 6 levels of driving automation now adopted by the U.S. Department of Transportation.

### **Human Monitors Driving Environment**

Level 0. **No Driving Automation**

- L1. Driver Assistance: Cruise Control, Adaptive Cruise Control. Driver steering, braking
- L2. Partial Driving Automation (Advanced Driver Assistance Systems – ADAS):  
Automated steering / acceleration / braking; Driver can take control at any time.  
Tesla Autopilot and Cadillac Super Cruise systems.

### **Automated Systems Monitor Driving Environment**

- L3. Conditional Driving Automation:  
Environment detection and decision-making with Driver override.
- L4. High Automation: Automation can intervene if adverse conditions arise without human interaction but humans can still override. Geofencing operations within specific area and speed limits. Currently used for ridesharing in some markets.
- L5. **Full Automation**: Human attention not required. “Dynamic Driving” tasks eliminated. No steering wheels or acceleration / braking pedals. No limited geofencing.

---

### ***Some Driving Automation Issues:***

- 1) Human error is system design error. Software must be designed for bottom half of user skill distribution. **Objective stress testing in non-optimal situations** is needed.
- 2) **Sensor limitations in** non-optimal, ambiguous or misleading environments like rain, snow, fog, night rain/glare, poor signage/graffiti, poor or no lane markings.
- 3) **Distractions driving / boredom**. Human monitoring of low-probability events is high risk for any partial automation that requires driver attention and automation override. Cognitive inertia to reacquire situation awareness.
- 4) **Skill decay**. If drivers, particularly new drivers, come to depend on partial automation, will they have the skill to be able to take over when they should?
- 5) How do non-automated drivers interact with automated systems? Will there be standardized and predictable behavior among independently developed autonomous systems? Is this a new training issue for driver's ed?
- 6) **Inferring Intent / Prediction of traffic behavior**. How to emulate human interpretation of local traffic decisions. For example, the car in front of us has a left-turn blinker on. Is it actually going to turn left (opportunity); Is the driver looking to change lanes because traffic

is backed up ahead; Has the driver forgotten the blinker is on? How to react to other aberrant driving behavior like a car tailgating or weaving across lanes? Cyclists / Pedestrian intent?

- 7) When should the automation break the rules? For example, speeding up to avoid a collision? Proactive behavior to disambiguate situation/ update predictive model.
- 8) ***Ethical decision-making, risk assessment and financial optimization***. How do people decide whether to save the car and driver (industry interest) versus limiting overall impact damage? For example, a human might decide to swerve away from a baby stroller in its path onto a sidewalk with a high risk solution that might send the car into a crowd of people.
- 9) ***Who is at fault*** in case of adverse outcomes/ accidents? The driver? The software company? The car manufacturer? The regulatory agencies?

***Future Developments:***

***Connected Vehicle systems***, System level monitoring and direction of traffic including speed, spacing satisfying both individual/shared goals and intent. "Mixed equipage" is an issue here with legacy, non-automated vehicles likely to be on the road for the next 50 years. This issue is a big deal at the FAA for general aviation of mostly small private planes.