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In this paper, we report the results of extending plasma resistivity measurements into a higher plasma density regime. Six years ago Lencioni\textsuperscript{1,2} observed the scaling in the small octupole:

\[ \eta_L = 2.5 \times 10^7 \sqrt{\frac{T_e}{n_e}} \text{ } \Omega \cdot \text{m} \]

where \( 5 \times 10^7 < n_e < 2 \times 10^9/\text{cm}^3 \) and \( T_e \approx 3 \text{ eV} \). We observe the same inverse dependence on density with the same numerical factor for \( 10^{10} < n_e < 2 \times 10^{12}/\text{cm}^3 \). At the higher densities Spitzer resistivity \( \eta_{sp} \) for \( z = 1 \) starts to dominate.

In addition, we have observed classical, that is, collisional resistivity for high filling pressures where electron collisions with neutral hydrogen become important.

**Description of Experiment**

The first figure shows the lines of constant poloidal magnetic flux in the small Wisconsin supported toroidal octupole.

A toroidal magnetic field is provided by a 24 turn external winding. Resistivity measurements are made near the center of the machine, in the region where the octupole field is very small. Here the decay of the poloidal magnetic flux generates an electric field parallel to the toroidal magnetic field. The plasma toroidal current density \( j_T \) is measured near its spatial peak using a paddle probe\textsuperscript{1,2,3,4,5,6} with surface area 1.0 mm\(^2\). Since the plasma current is small compared with the current in the hoops, the toroidal electric field \( E_T \) is approximately the vacuum field.

\[ E_T = 0.95 \text{ V} \sin (0.54 (t-2.4)) \text{ V/m} \]

where \( V \) is the voltage in kV on the octupole capacitor bank and \( t \) is the time from the octupole field trigger. The measured parallel resistivity is then given by

\[ \eta_m = \frac{E_T}{j_T}. \]
The operating parameters for this ohmic heating experiment are shown in Figure 2. The octupole field $B_p$ pulse is a half sine wave lasting 5 ms. The toroidal field $B_T$ pulse is a damped half sine wave lasting 2 ms with its peak at 0.8 ms. The relative delay is 3.5 ms, chosen experimentally to maximize toroidal current density. The plasma is prepared with electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) microwaves, which are turned off when $B_T$ is turned on.

The range of fields available on axis are $0.7 < E_T < 4$ V/m and $0 < B_T < 1.8$ kG. Most of the data presented here was obtained for peak $B_T = 1.2$ kG on axis. Plasma parameters fill the range $10^{10} < n_e < 2 \times 10^{12}$/cm$^3$ and $3 < T_e < 30$ eV as measured with Langmuir probes using simple probe theory assuming a Maxwellian electron velocity distribution function $f_e(v)$. Since operating conditions approach the electron runaway regime, $f_e(v)$ may actually have a double peak and/or an enhanced tail. Therefore, the interpretation of probe measurements of $T_e$ is questionable. Methods used were swept probe,\textsuperscript{7} admittance probe\textsuperscript{8} and floating triple probe,\textsuperscript{9} all giving $T_e$ within the same range.

**Experimental Results**

Two typical data runs are displayed in Figure 3. Resistivity $\eta$ is plotted against real H$_2$ filling pressure. The experimentally measured $\eta_m$ is shown as x's. Triangles represent $\eta_{Sp}$ calculated from the measured $T_e$ assuming $z = 1$. Squares represent resistivity due to electron collisions with neutral hydrogen calculated from the measured $n_e$ assuming neutral density is given by subtracting $n_e$ from the filling density:

$$\eta_n = 0.3 \times 10^{-5} \left( \frac{2n_H^2}{n_e} - 1 \right) \Omega^{-m},$$

where $\overline{\nu}$ is taken from a graph in Rose and Clark.\textsuperscript{10} Circles represent the total collisional or classical resistivity

$$\eta_{col} = \eta_{Sp} + \eta_n.$$
For high filling pressures \( (p \gtrsim 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Torr}) \) the measured resistivity matches classical scaling. For the upper graph, where the fractional ionization \( n_e/n_H \) is relatively high, \( \eta_{\text{Sp}} \) dominates \( \eta_{\text{col}} \) up to \( 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Torr} \). For the lower graph where \( n_e/n_H \) is less, \( \eta_n \) dominates for \( p \geq 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Torr} \).

For the lower filling pressures \( (p \leq 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Torr}) \) \( \eta_m \) becomes anomalous, that is, non-classical. Figure 4 shows more clearly the transition from classical scaling at high pressure to anomalous scaling at low pressure for the same data as in Figure 3.

**Analysis**

The issue of interest becomes the scaling of and, if possible, an explanation for the anomalous resistivity measured at low pressure. One suspects that the presence of runaway electrons might cause a current-limiting instability. Without pursuing this point further, we note that, although the toroidal electric field \( E_T \) is less than the critical field \( E_{\text{crit}} \) for average electrons to run away, \( \frac{\eta_m}{\eta_{\text{col}}} \) tends to increase with increasing \( \frac{E_T}{E_{\text{crit}}} \). This trend is shown in Figure 5. The suggestion is that as a higher fraction of electrons becomes capable of running away, the resistivity is increasingly affected.

Attempts are made to determine the scaling of resistivity with electron density and temperature. Despite the difficulty of varying only one parameter without changing any of the others, some preliminary data was obtained and is displayed in logarithmic plots in Figures 6 and 7. Figure 6 shows the inverse proportionality between resistivity and plasma density, while the variation of \( T_e \) in Figure 7 seems to have very little effect on resistivity.

The apparent inverse density dependence calls to mind the resistivity measured by Lencioni\(^1,2\) and referred to in the first paragraph of this paper.
When Lencioni's resistivity is added to the calculated collisional resistivity and compared with the data in Figures 3 and 4, the plot in Figure 8 is obtained. This graph shows the low pressure anomalous data only. The square data points correspond to the lower graphs in Figures 3 and 4 while the circles correspond to the upper graphs. Adding collisional and Lencioni resistivity seems to give the right form for at least some of the data, but the magnitude seems to be low by a factor of two. Experimental errors may well be the reason. The departure of the very low pressure data from a horizontal line is due to variations in temperature \( T_e \) which is probably the most inaccurately measured of all the experimental quantities. On the other hand, our observed lack of resistivity dependence on \( T_e \) may be valid and thus in disagreement with both Lencioni's observations and with collisional theory. Thus, the scaling of anomalous resistivity with all parameters remains incompletely known and unexplained where it is known.

**Conclusion**

The most significant result of these measurements so far is the observation of anomalous resistivity inversely proportional to electron density over five orders of magnitude. The combined data from Lencioni's and our work is shown in Figure 9. Our data is plotted regardless of measured \( T_e \) whose variation has an incompletely documented effect or lack of effect on resistivity. For large enough neutral densities, resistivity is fully explained by collisions with neutral particles, and for large enough electron densities (approaching \( 10^{13}/\text{cm}^3 \)) Spitzer resistivity becomes large enough to dominate in the observed range of \( T_e \).
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