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This note describes a computer code (PFNCAL) that calculates the output pulse shape of an arbitrary, voltage fed, E-section, pulse forming network. The code was written to study the feasibility of producing strange-shaped (i.e.: non-rectangular) pulses of ECRH and ICRH power for plasma heating. In particular, it appears that increasing the ECRH power as a function of time may lead to denser plasmas than the same amount of energy delivered at constant power.

A pulse forming network is basically a lumped constant transmission line each section of which can be represented as below:


The behavior of the section is determined by a voltage (loop) equation,

$$
V_{N}-V_{N-1}=I_{N}{\underset{R}{R}}_{R_{N}}+L_{N} \frac{d I_{N}}{d t},
$$

and a node (current equation),

$$
I_{N+1}-I_{N}=C_{N} \frac{d V_{N}}{d t}
$$

With a number of sections $N_{S}$, the behavior of the line is uniquely determined by a set of $2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{S}}$ linear, first-order, differential equations plus a set of boundary conditions which are generally given by $V_{N}=V_{0}$ and $I_{N}=0$ for all $N$. The first section ( $N=1$ ) which is generally terminated in a load resistor ( $R_{L}$ ) and the last section ( $N=N_{S}$ ) must be treated as special cases:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1}-I_{1} R_{L} & =I_{1} R_{1}+L_{1} \frac{d I_{1}}{d t} \\
V_{N_{S}} & =C_{N_{S}}=C_{N_{S}} \frac{d V_{N_{S}}}{d t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To make the problem even more general, we allow some mutual inductance between adjacent sections, but for simplicity, we take the coupling coefficient (k) to be the same for all sections. This modifies the voltage equation as follows:

$$
V_{N}-V_{N-1}=I_{N} R_{N}+L_{N}(1-2 k) \frac{d I_{N}}{d t}+k_{N}\left(\frac{d I_{N-1}}{d t}+\frac{d I_{N+1}}{d t}\right)
$$

Unfortunately, this represents a significant complication for the numerical method used, and so we simplify the problem by assuming $k \ll 1$ (as is uaually the case) and keep terms only to first order in $k$ :

$$
V_{N}-V_{N-1} \cong(1-2 k)\left(I_{N} R_{N}+L_{N} \frac{d I_{N}}{d t}\right)+k\left(V_{N+1}-v_{N}+V_{N-1}-V_{N-2}\right)
$$

For this case, the first two sections ( $\mathrm{N}=1$ and 2) and the last section ( $N=N_{S}$ ) have to be treated separately:

$$
V_{1}-I_{1} R R_{L}=(1--k)\left(I_{1} R_{1}+L_{1} \frac{d I_{1}}{d t}\right)+k\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{2}-v_{1}=(1-2 k)\left(I_{2} R_{2}+L_{2} \frac{d I_{2}}{d t}\right) \pm k\left(v_{3}-v_{2}+v_{1}-I_{1} R_{L}\right) \\
& v_{N_{S}}-V_{N_{S}=1}=(1-k)\left(I_{N_{S}} R_{N_{S}}+L_{N_{S}} \frac{d I_{N_{S}}}{d t}\right)+k\left(v_{N_{S}-1}-v_{N_{S}-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

he The computer code solves this set of $2 N_{S}$ simultaneous, linear, differential equations (up to $N_{S}=50$ ) using the MACC predictor-corrector subroutine DEPC. A Fortran listing of the code which includes provisions for a line-printer graph of the output is included in the appendix. The user need specify only the values of the arrays $R_{N}, L_{N}$, and $C_{N}$ and the values of $N_{S}, R_{L}, k$, and the time at which the computation is to end. All voltages are normalized to the initial voltage on the line. A sample of typical output is shown for a line of 10 identical sections except for the end sections which have $25 \%$ extra inductance, terminated in its characteristic impedance ( $R_{L}=L_{N} / C_{N}$ ) with a total resistance that is $20 \%$ of $R_{L}\left(R_{N}=0.2 R_{L} / N_{S}\right)$, and a coupling coefficient of 0.15 . This is typical of lines which are optimized to give a rectangular pulse with a droop of $\sim 10 \%$. The calculation takes $\sim 7$ seconds of 1110 computer time and costs $\sim 80$ cents.

A variety of cases have been run including ones in which the inductance increases with distance from the load (which steepens the rise and introduces a significant droop) and cases in which the inductance decreases with distance from the load (which produces a monotonically rising waveform). More complicated cases will be examined if there appears to be some benefit to be gained from the standpoint of plasma heating.

## SPROTT. 298 त, 4126810219

## N-MACP PNCAb

NGMACC 1.14S08/25175-16i12:33 . PFNCAL

| ${ }^{\text {A }}$ - | ${ }_{C}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. |  | DIMENSION VI(100), VF'(101),SAVE(101.100), TIME (101), AI (101) |
| 3. |  | COMMON NS, NN,RL, AK, AL (50), C(50), R(50) |
| 4 |  | EXTERNAL DERIVS |
| 5 | c | NS IS THE NUMBER OF SECTIONS IN THE LINE (MAX 50) |
| 6. |  | NS $=10$ |
| 7. | C | RL IS THE LOAD RESISTANCE |
| $8{ }^{8}$ |  | $R L=1.0$ |
| 9. | $c$ | AK is the Coupling coefficient between sections |
| 10. |  | $A K=0.15$ |
| 11. | c | TEND IS THE TIME AT WHICH THE COMPUTATION ENDS |
| 12. |  | TENDE4.0 |
| 13. |  | DO. 100 . IE1.NS |
| 14. |  | $A L(I)=1$ O/FLOAT(NS) |
| 15. |  | $C(I)=1.0 / F L O A T(N S)$ |
| 16. |  | $R(T)=0.2 / F L O A T(N S)$ |
| 17. |  | VI (2*I-1)=0.0 |
| 18. |  | VI(2*I) $=1.0$ |
| $19^{\circ}$. | 100 | CONTINUE |
| 20. |  | $A L(1)=12 ? 5 * A L(1)$ |
| 21. |  | $\Delta_{L}(N S)=1.25 * L_{L}(N S)$ |
| 22. |  | NN=2*NS |
| 23. |  | DT:0.01*TEND |
| 24. |  |  |
| 25. | 300 | FORMATPIHI, STEP TIME CURRENTi). |
| 36. |  |  |
| 27. |  | 2,DT, 2H...SAVE, 101 , NN, NPOINT, NOTIFY, \$400) |
| 28. | 400 | CONTINUE |
| 29. |  | DO $600 \mathrm{~J}=1$, NPOINT |
| 30. |  |  |
| 31. |  | AI (J)=SAVE(J.1) |
| 32. |  |  |
| 33. | 500 | FORMAT (1H.I6, 2F13.4) |
| 34. | 600 | CONT TNUE |
| 35. |  |  |
| 36. |  | 2E FORMING NETWORK LOAD..i, it IME..i, iCURRENT...i.i*i) |
| 37. |  | CALL GRPHND |
| 38. |  | END |

```
END OF COMPILATION:
```

    - DERIVS
    NMMACC.1.14S-08/25i75-16:12:38

DERIVS
SUBROUTINE DERIVS(TIME.V.DV,STORE,ITEST)
DIMENSTON V(1).DV(1).STORE(1)
COMMON NS,NN,RL,AK,AL(50),C(50),R(50)
VOEV (1)*RL
DVC(1)=fV(2)-VO+AK*(2CO*V(2)-VO-V(4))-R(1)*V(1))/AL(1)
$D V(3)=((V(4)-V(2)) *(1.0+3.0 * A K)+A K *(V O-V(6))-R(2) * V(3)) / \Delta L(2)$
DO. 200 I $12 . \mathrm{NS}$
200
DV(2*I-2 $)=(V(2 * I-1)-V(3 * I-3)) / C(I-1)$
DO 250 I $=4 . N S$

| $10^{\circ}$ | 250 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $11 \%$ |  | $2(I-1) * V(2 * I-3)) / A L(I-1)$ |
| 12. |  | OV $(2 * N S-1)=(V(2 * N S)-V(2 * N S=2)+A K *(V(2 * N S)=2.0 * V(2 * N S-2)+V(2 * N S-4))$ |
| 13. |  | 2-R(NS)*V(2*NS-1) / AL (NS) |
| 14. |  | $D V(2 * N S)=-V(2 * N S-1) / C$ (NS) |
| 15 |  | 00300 Im $1 . \mathrm{NN}$ |
| 16 | 300 | STORE (I) wV (I) |
| $17 \%$ |  | RETURN |
| 18. |  | END |

END OF COMPILATION: NO DIÄGNOSTTCS.

| STEP | TIME | CURRENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 0000 | . 0000 |
| 2 | . 0400 | , 2972 |
| 3 | . 0800 | .4570 |
| 4 | .1200 | \% 5100 |
| 5 | . 1600 | . 5043 |
| 6 | . 2000 | . 4846 |
| 7 | . 2400 | ,476? |
| 8 | . 2800 | +4824 |
| 9 | . 3200 | .4932 |
| 10 | - 3600 | . 4981 |
| 11 | . 4000 | 4945 |
| 12 | . 4400 | ,4871 |
| 13 | .4800 | .4824 |
| 14 | , 5200 | 4830 |
| 15 | . 5600 | . 4864 |
| 16 | .6000 | . 4884 |
| 17 | .6400 | 4867 |
| 18 | . 6800 | . 4827 |
| 19 | +7200 | . 4794 |
| 20 | .7600 | . 4788 |
| 21 | .8000 | 480 ? |
| 22 | . 8400 | .481? |
| 23 | . 8800 | .4802 |
| 24 | . 9200 | . 4776 |
| 25 | -9600 | . 4750 |
| 26 | 1.0000 | . 4740 |
| 27 | 1.0400 | . 4744 |
| 28 | 1,0800 | . 4749 |
| 29 | 1.1200 | . 4743 |
| 30 | 1.1600 | +4724 |
| 31 | 1.2000 | . 4702 |
| 32 | 1.2400 | . 4689 |
| 33 | 1.2800 | . 4688 |
| 34 | 1.3200 | f.4689 |
| 35 | 1,3600 | .4685 |
| 36 | 1.4000 | . 4673 |
| 37 | 1.4400 | . 4660 |
| 38 | 1.4800 | . 4655 |
| 39 | 1.5200 | . 4659 |
| 40 | 1.5600 | .4662 |
| 41 | 1,6000 | . 4648 |
| 42 | 1.6400 | . 4610 |
| 43 | 1.6800 | +4553 |
| 44 | 1.7200 | 14496 |
| 45 | 1,7600 | \% 4465 |
| 46 | 1,8000 | . 4476 |
| 47 | 1.8400 | . 4528 |
| 48 | 1.8800 | . 4589 |
| 49 | 1.9200 | . 4597 |
| 50 | 1.9600 | . 4467 |
| 51 | 2.0000 | 4118 |
| 52 | 2.0400 | $\ldots 514$ |
| 53 | 2.0800 | . 2693 |
| 54 | 2.1200 | +1779 |
| 55 | 2.1600 | 10945 |
| 56 | 2.2000 | .0352 |


| 57 | 32500 | 0083 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 2.2800 | . 0104 |
| 59 | 2.3200 | . 0282 |
| 60 | 2.3600 | . 0456 |
| 61 | 2.4000 | .0513 |
| 62 | 2.4400 | . 0434 |
| 63 | 2.4800 | . 0292 |
| 64 | 2.5200 | . 0188 |
| 65 | 2.5600 | +0189 |
| 66 | 2.6000 | . 0284 |
| 67 | 3.6400 | . 0402 |
| 68 | 2.6800 | . 0460 |
| 69 | 2.7200 | 0421 |
| 70 | 2.7600 | .0314 |
| 71 | 2.8000 | . 0210 |
| 72 | 2.8400 | . 0171 |
| 73 | 2.8800 | . 0211 |
| 74 | 2.9200 | . 0288 |
| 75 | 2.9600 | . 0338 |
| 76 | 3,0000 | . 0321 |
| 77 | 3.0400 | , 0249 |
| 78 | 3.0800 | . 0172 |
| 79 | 3.1200 | . 0140 |
| 80 | 3.1600 | .0167 |
| 81 | 3.2000 | 10223 |
| 82 | 3.3400 | . 0257 |
| 83 | 3.2800 | ,0239 |
| 84 | 3.3200 | . 0180 |
| 85 | 3.3600 | . 0121 |
| 86 | 3.4000 | .0103 |
| 87 | 3.4400 | .0131 |
| 88 | 3.4800 | . 0177 |
| 89 | 3,5200 | 0197 |
| 90 | 3,5600 | . 0170 |
| 91 | \$.6000 | . 0108 |
| 92 | 3.6400 | . 0049 |
| 93 | 3,6800 | . 0025 |
| 94 | 3.7200 | . 0041 |
| 95 | 3.7600 | 0073 |
| 96 | 3.8000 | . 0095 |
| 97 | 3.8400 | .009? |
| 98 | 3.8800 | . 0071 |
| -99 | 3.9200 | 0042 |
| 100 | 3.9600 | . 0000 |
| 101 | 4.0000 | -. 0075 |



## - ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \mathrm{N}$

```
    RUNID: CWÏzas PROJECTi OZ2goo
    ITEM
epu itme
FilE I%O REQuests
FILE I/O WORDS
MEMORY USAGE
CAROS IN
pages printed
ER + CC
job charge
yotal cost
AMOUNT COST(DOLLARS)
00:00:06.996
239
22?142
    0.202
        6?
    7
```


## total cost

USER: 4126810219
COST(DOLLARS)
$\$ 0.26$
$\$ 0.11$
$\$ 0.10$$\$ 0.12$$\$ 0.02$$\$ 0.09$
$\$ 0.06$
$\$ 0.05$$\$ 0.81$

```
ŤHE AbOVE DOLLLAR aMOUNTS ARE APPROXIMATE AND ARE BASE O ON RATES FOR WH. USER BALANCE
```

```
$112.11
```

\$112.11
INITiATION TIME: í:İ:32-AUG 25.T975
fermination time: íiiji30-aug 25.i975
previous run time: i3io8i18=AUG 22.i975

```
```

